Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/593,945	NAOE, HITOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B. Corrielus	2637	

All Participants:

(1) *Jean B. Corrielus*.

(2) *Charles Gorenstein*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *7 October 2005*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1-3, 5, 8, 12-15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some minor informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)